PTO/SB/05 (03-01) for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032 U.S. Patent and Trad Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

⊂Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995,

persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION TRANSMITTAL

Attorney Docket No. Kaspar Tobias Winther First Inventor Bonding of parts with dissimilar therm..

		<u></u>	i itie	N			
(Only for new nonprovision	onal applications under 37 CFR 1.53((b)) E	Expres	s Mail Label No.	<u> </u>		
	ATION ELEMENTS seeming utility patent application cont	tents	ADL	DRESS TO:	Assistant Co Box Patent A Washington	Applica	0224
Fee Transmittal F	Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17)	Cina.	7.				
1. (Submit an original and a duplicate for fee processing) Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27.			Computer Program (Appendix) 8. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission				
Specification	[Total Pages 11 Computer Readable Form (CRF)				۵. ای		
- Descriptive title	a. Computer Readable Form (CRF) de of the invention b. Specification Sequence Listing on:				200		
	nce to Related Applications garding Fed sponsored R & D i. CD-ROM or CD-R (2 copies); or			es): or			
- Reference to s	equence listing, a table,			ii. paper	·	•	,,
Background of	program listing appendix the Invention		c.	Statements ve	rifying identi	ity of a	bove copies
- Brief Summary	of the Invention on of the Drawings (if filed)			ACCOMPANYIN	IG APPLI	CATI	ON PARTS
- Detailed Descr	iption		9.	Assignment Pa			
- Claim(s) - Abstract of the	Disclosure	_	10.	37 CFR 3.73(b (when there is		, [Power of Attomey
4. Drawing(s) (35 L	J.S.C. 113) [Total Sheets 1	_	11.[English Transla	ation Docum	ent <i>(if</i>	
5. Oath or Declaration	[Total Pages 2	ر (12.	Information Dis		, C	Copies of IDS
Statement (IDS)/P10-1449 — Citations							
Copy from a prior application (37 CFR 1.63 (d)) Return Receipt Postcard (MPEP 503)				503)			
i. DELETION OF INVENTOR(S) 15 Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)			ent(s)				
Signed sta	atement attached deleting inventor(s) the prior application, see 37 CFR		Neprublication Programmed				
1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b). (b)(2)(B)(i). Applicant must attach form PT							
6. Application Data	or its equivalent. Application Data Sheet. See 37 CFR 1.76 Other: Amendment re, Provisional Patent.					nal Patent	
	17. V Other: Application						
18. If a CONTINUING APPL or in an Application Data Sho	ICATION, check appropriate box, and eet under 37 CFR 1 76	d supply	the requ	uisite information bel	ow and in a	prelim	inary amendment,
Continuation	Divisional Continuation-in-part	(CIP)		of prior application No.:	,		
Prior application information:	Examiner:			Group Art U	Init:		
For CONTINUATION OR DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied under Box 5b, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompanying continuation or divisional application and is hereby incorporated by reference							
The incorporation can only be relied upon when a portion has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts. 19. CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS							
Customer Number or Bar C				or 😾	Correspon	ndence a	address below
Name	Kaspar Tobias Winther						·
	7 Walnut Street		<u></u>	77000	 		
Address		******			····		
City	Upton	s	tate	MA	Zip (Code	01568-1101
Country	USA	Teleph	one	508-529-009	3 F	эx	508-529-0093
Name (Print/Type)	Kaspar Tobias Winther		Regi	stration No. (Attori	nev/Agent)		
Signature	K.T. Winings		1		Date	03/0)4/2002
V	A C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C				Loue	,, -	· ·

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/17 (10-01)
Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0032
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE pond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to resp

FEE TRANSMITTAL for FY 2002

Patent fees are subject to annual revision.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYME	ENT
-----------------------	-----

	Œ	١
١ (Ψ	J

period to a company of the period of the control right both				
Complete if Known				
Application Number				
Filing Date				
First Named Inventor	Kaspar Tobias Winther			
Examiner Name				
Group Art Unit				
Attorney Docket No.				

METHOD OF PAYMENT	FEE CALCULATION (continued)						
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge	3. ADDITIONAL FEES						
indicated fees and credit any overpayments to: Deposit Account	Large Small Entity Entity						
Number	Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description	Fee Paid					
Deposit Account	105 130 205 65 Surcharge - late filing fee or oath						
Name Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17	127 50 227 25 Surcharge - late provisional filing fee or cover sheet						
Applicant claims small entity status.	139 130 139 130 Non-English specification						
See 37 CFR 1.27	147 2,520 147 2,520 For filing a request for ex parte reexamination						
2. Payment Enclosed: Check Credit card Money Order Other	112 920* 112 920* Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action						
FEE CALCULATION	113 1,840* 113 1,840* Requesting publication of SIR after Examiner action						
1. BASIC FILING FEE	115 110 215 55 Extension for reply within first month						
Large Entity Small Entity	116 400 216 200 Extension for reply within second month						
Fee Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$) Fee Paid	117 920 217 460 Extension for reply within third month						
101 740 201 370 Utility filing fee 370.00	118 1,440 218 720 Extension for reply within fourth month						
106 330 206 165 Design filing fee	128 1,960 228 980 Extension for reply within fifth month						
107 510 207 255 Plant filing fee	119 320 219 160 Notice of Appeal						
108 740 208 370 Reissue filing fee	120 320 220 160 Filing a brief in support of an appeal						
114 160 214 80 Provisional filing fee	121 280 221 140 Request for oral hearing						
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 370.00	138 1,510 138 1,510 Petition to institute a public use proceeding						
	140 110 240 55 Petition to revive - unavoidable						
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES Fee from	141 1,280 241 640 Petition to revive - unintentional						
Extra Claims below Fee Paid Total Claims 20 -20** = 0 x 9.00 = 0.00	18						
Independent 3 -3** = 0 × 42.00 10.00	143 460 243 230 Design issue fee						
Claims							
	·						
Large Entity Small Entity							
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	-						
103 18 203 9 Claims in excess of 20	581 40 581 40 Recording each patent assignment per property (times number of properties)						
102 84 202 42 Independent claims in excess of 3 104 280 204 140 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid	146 740 246 370 Filing a submission after final rejection (37 CFR § 1.129(a))						
109 84 209 42 ** Reissue independent claims over original patent	149 740 249 370 For each additional invention to be examined (37 CFR § 1.129(b))						
110 18 210 9 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20	179 740 279 370 Request for Continued Examination (RCE)						
and over original patent	169 900 169 900 Request for expedited examination of a design application						
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$) 0.00	Other fee (specify)						
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see above	*Reduced by Basic Filing Fee Paid SUBTOTAL (3)						

SUBMITTED BY				Complete (if applicable)		
Name (Print/Type)	Kaspar Tobias Winther	Registration No. (Attomey/Agent)	Telephone	508-529-0093		
Signature	d.T. Wintre		Date	03/04/2002		

WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.

February 22, 2002

Tobias Winther 7 Walnut Street Upton, MA 01568-1101

RE: Invention Disclosure "Materials for bonding parts with dissimilar thermal expansion coefficients", RPI case no. 646, filed as provisional patent application 60/273,070 on March 5, 2001

Dear Tobias:

As discussed, after reviewing the referenced case in detail, Rensselaer has decided not to proceed with converting the provisional patent application. As a result of this decision, you requested in an email of February 21, 2002 that the rights to this invention be returned to you.

In response to your request, Rensselaer does hereby assign to you, as the sole inventor at Rensselaer, all of its rights, title and interest to the intellectual property rights pertaining to the invention described and/or claimed in the aforementioned disclosure.

Thank you for your help in this matter. Good luck in pursuing the technology.

Best regards,

Charles F. Rancourt

Director

cc. Harry Stephanou

Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute 110 8th Street | Troy, NY 12180-3590 USA | J-Building Phone (518) 276-6023 | Fax (518) 276-6380 | www.rpi.edu/dept/ótc

K. T. Winther7 Walnut StreetUpton, MA 01568-1101508-529-0093

March 4, 2002

U.S. patent and Trademark Office Commissioner for Patents Washington DC 20231

Amendment A

Cross reference to Related Application

The present patent "Bonding of Materials with Dissimilar Thermal Expansion Coefficients" is entitled to the benefit of Provisional patent Application number 60/273,070 filed on March 5, 2001. The original disclosure is attached.

Very respectfully,

K.T. Winther

Kaspar Tobias Winther

Title: Materials for bonding parts with dissimilar thermal expansion coefficients.

Inventor: Kaspar Tobias Winther, 400 Brunswick Drive, B10A12, Troy, NY 12180, citizen of Denmark.

Invention conceived: 2001 February 14.

First sketch of invention prepared: 2001 February 14. First written description prepared: 2001 February 15.

People (other than inventor) who have knowledge of the invention:

Harry Stephanou Beth Wales Bob Reinick Mark Pazder Dan Popa

Problem addressed:

Permanent bonding between dissimilar materials is required in many products and components. In most cases the two materials will have different thermal expansion coefficients so when the temperature change fractures may form at or near the interface between the two materials. The fractures will naturally weaken the bond and eventually cause the parts to come apart. The temperature changes may reflect cooling from the processing temperature at which the parts were bonded to ambient or temperature cycles during the lifetime of the product / part.

Alternative solutions to the problem and their shortcomings:

There are basically two different approaches used today:

- 1. Use materials that match each other as closely as possible in terms of thermal expansion coefficients. Corning is for example offering a number of different specialty glasses that match a number of different materials in their thermal expansion coefficient. The problem with this approach is that these materials may otherwise have undesirable properties, e.g. maybe one component must be manufactured in silicon while another must be manufactured in metal, so Corning's glasses is of little help. Another issue is that the match in thermal expansion is often limited to a certain temperature range.
- 2. Use an intermediate layer that has sufficient compliance to take up the thermal mismatch. This layer could be an adhesive or either one or more layers of metals. The drawback of adhesives like epoxy is that they may decay over time and may not

withstand a number of environments. The drawback of the metal layers is that they them selves have different thermal expansion coefficients that ultimately can cause problems of their own.

Description of the invention:

Let us assume that we want to bond two materials, A and B, together with the thermal expansion coefficients α_A and α_B , respectively. The basic idea is to first form a sheet of glass or metal that gradually changes thermal expansion coefficient from α_A on one side to α_B on the other side and secondly bond the two materials, A and B, to this sheet. The fabrication of this sheet could be done in a number of ways; here are some examples:

- Two existing layers of "end-member" materials are polished, placed against each other and heated under pressure. The diffusion taking place will create a gradient in compositions and physical properties. Especially, for relative simple systems like two glasses with different Na to K ratios the changes in thermal expansion coefficients can be expected to be a monotonous (although not necessarily linear) function of composition. Similar sheets can be formed by alloying two different end member metals or alloys. After the diffusion, stress relief of the glass will most likely be required.
- Forming the glass or metal directly with a gradient, this could be done by simultaneous extrusion of different compositions, rolling of multiple layers or build up sheets of xerogel precursors with changing compositions followed by sintering. Subsequent heat treatment will further smoothen the gradients.

The bonding of the materials, A and B, to the sheet can take place with anodic bonding, diffusion bonding, adhesives or other methods. The thickness of the intermediary sheet will naturally have to depend on the difference in thermal expansion coefficients (between α_A and α_B), the elastic properties of the sheet and how uniform a gradient in thermal expansion coefficients can be achieved within the sheet.

Benefits over prior art:

The key benefit of this method is that the thermally induced strain is distributed across a layer of material rather than being concentrated at the interface. In this way the stress at any given point is reduced, and the material remains in the elastic region so cracks are avoided, thereby creating the basis for a long-term durable bond.

Problems that remain unresolved:

- The intermediate layer will, like Corning's specialty glasses, only match the thermal expansion coefficients of the neighboring materials over a certain temperature range. Of course if the two end-members are the two materials that need to be bonded together even this problem will go away.
- Additional thickness will be added to the structure being constructed.
- > The compatibility of the intermediate layer will have to be verified.

None of these problems are, however, avoided in the currently used methods.

The following was received by the US Patent and Trademark Office:

Patent application filed by Kaspar Tobias Winther: "Bonding of parts with dissimilar thermal expansion coefficients" which consists of:

- ➤ Utility Patent Application Transmittal form (1 page)
- > Fee Transmittal form for FY 2002 (1 page)
- > Check #281 in the amount of three hundred seventy dollars
- > Specification, claims and abstract (11 pages)
- > Drawing (1 page)
- > Declaration for Utility or Design Patent Application (2 pages)
- ➤ Amendment A regarding Provisional Patent (3 pages)
- > Copy of letter from RPI (1 page)